ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಕೃಪಾಂಕ ಉಂಟಾಗಿರುವ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ.

ಚುಕ್ಕೆ ಗುರುತಿಲ್ಲದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 968(896)

ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರು: ಶ್ರೀ ಕಟ್ಟಾ ಸುಬ್ರಮಣ್ಯ ನಾಯ್ಡು(ಶಿವಾಜಿನಗರ)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ

ಅ) ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಕೃಪಾಂಕದ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಿಧ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನೇಮಕಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ನೌಕರರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಎಷ್ಟು?

ಆ) ಸರ್ವೋಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವು ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಕೃಪಾಂಕ ನೀಡುವುದನ್ನು ಅಸಿಂಧುಗೊಳಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಕೃಪಾಂಕದ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ನೌಕರಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದು ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವವರ ಭವಿಷ್ಯವೇನು?

ಇ) ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಈ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಹಿತರಕ್ಷಣೆಗೆ ಕೈಗೊಂಡ ಕ್ರಮಗಳೇನು?

ಉತ್ತರಿಸುವ ಸಚಿವರು: ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್.ಎಂ.ಕೃಷ್ಣ(ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು)

ಉತ್ತರ

ಅ) ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಬಂಧ-1ರಲ್ಲಿ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಆ ಮತ್ತು ಇ) ಇಂದಿನ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಬಂಧ-2ರಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

19TH MARCH 2002

ANNEXURE-I

Statement showing the appointments made with the benefit of rural weightage after 11.11.1998, that is the date of the order of the Single Judge quashing the provision regarding grant of weightage to rural candidates.

Sl. No.	Name of the Field Department	Details of posts in respect of which select lists were published selecting candidates with the benefit of rural weightage.	
		Name of the Post	Date of publication of the select list
1	2	3	
		(a)	(b)
01.	E.S.I. (M) Services	Jr. Lab. Technician	11.11.99
		X-ray Technician	11.11.99
		Insurance Medical Officers.	31.09.00
02.	Labour Department	Labour Inspectors	26.09.00
03.	Factories and Boilers	S.D.A.	16.11.00
04	Karnataka Government Insurance Department	Assistant Director / District Insurance Officer	17.09.98
05	Commercial Taxes Department	Commercial Tax Inspectors	30.04.98
06	Department of Information	Information Assistant	12.06.00

No. of Candidates selected with the benefit of rural weightage.	whether the select list was operated or not	Remarks
(c)	4	5
18	Operated	
01	Operated	
68	Operated	
28	Operated	
01	Operated	
02	Operated	
134	Operated	
07	Operated	

07	Department of Indian System of Medicine and Homeopathy	Lecturer	16.04.99
		S.D.A.	21.05.99
08	Health and Family Welfare Department	General Duty Medical Officer	19.05.00
		Dental Health officer	19.05.00
		Refractionist	29.09.00
		Asst.Entomologist	29.09.00
		Staff Nurses	04.07.98
		Social Worker	20.02.99
		Dietician	06.03.99
		Jr.Chemist	24.06.99
9.	Dept. of Prosecution and Govt. Litigation	Asst. Public Prosecutors-cum Asst. Govt. Pleaders	01.04.99
10.	High Court of Karnataka	Civil Judges(Jr.Division)	18.12.98
11.	Dept. of Survey Settlement and Land Records	Second Division surveyors	--
12.	Dept. of Stamps and Registration	Sub-Registrars	21.05.99
13.	Dept. of Horticulture	Sr.Assistant Director of Horticulture.	20.03.99
14.	Department of Drugs Control	Drug Inspector	23.07.99
		Junior Chemist	09.07.99
		Junior Engineers	25.09.00

02	Operated	
03	Operated	1 candidate not reported
387		
68		
01		
20	Operated	
--		
02		
16		
54	Operated	
24	Operated	
140	Operated	
42	Operated	
06	Operated	
06	Operated	
09	Operated	
02	Operated	

15.	Department of Town Planning	Tracer	26.07.99
		Town Planning Supervisors	03.06.99
16.	Women and Child Welfare Department	Probationery Officers Gr.-II	19.12.98
17.	Police Department	Kannada Reporters	03.06.99
		Sub-Inspectors	-
18.	Education Department		
	(i) Collogiate Education	S.D.A	15.11.00
		P.C.I.	04.01.99
		Librarian	16.7.99
	(ii) Primary Education	Primary School Teachers	12.11.99
	(iii) Department of Public Library	Librarian	26.09.00
19.	Assistants:FDAs in the various Departments of Government		21.05.99 (main list)
			17.11.99 (Addnl. List)
20.	Typists in the Various Departments		16.10.99
21.	Stenographics in the various Departments of Government		16.10.99
		Total	

11	Operated
14	Operated
12	Operated
02	Operated
--	-
--	
06	Operated
--	
10566	Operated
04	Operated
858	--
84	--
546	--
51	--
13,199	

ANNEXURE-2

The Single Judge of the High Court of Karnataka by his order dated 11-11-1998 in Writ.Petition No.13157/98 held as void and struck down the provision relating to grant of weightage of 10 percent to Rural candidates for recruitment to the posts in the Civil Services of the State. While admitting the Writ Appeal No.5807/98 filed by the State challenging the said order dated 11-11-1998 of the learned Single Judge, the Division Bench of the High Court on 4-12-1998 passed an interim order as quoted below:

"Operation of the impugned order is stayed with the stipulation that hereinafter selections / appointments shall be made subject to the decision of the appeal. Appointing authority before giving 'order of appointment' to a candidate having rural weightage, shall, obtain an undertaking from the candidate that the appointment given shall be subject to the decision in these proceedings and that the candidate shall have no right to the post in the event of the order of the Single Judge being upheld."

2. Later the Division Bench, by its Judgement dated 26-11-1999 upheld the said order dated 11-11-1998 of the Single Judge with the following direction:

".....We affirm the observations made by the learned Single Judge that the candidates who have already selected and appointed by giving 'rural weightage' should not be disturbed".

3. Subsequently, on 16-12-1999 the Division Bench added the following direction:

".....and also the persons appointed or selected during the pendency of these appeals until now on the basis of Rural Weightage."

4. The S.L.P. No.2065-2084/2000 preferred by the State against the said Judgement dated 26-11-1999 was dismissed on 14-2-2000.

5. Subsequently, the Supreme Court by its order dated 11-10-2001 in Civil Appeal No.7105/2001 filed by Shri S.Sreedhara and connected Civil Appeals set aside the two directions quoted above in relation to

the appointments and also the selections made during the pendency of the Writ Appeals beofre the Division Bench of the High Court that is during the period between 11-11-1998 and 26-11-1999.

6. The State filed Review Petitions against the said order dated 11-10-2001 of the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court on 26-11-2001 ordered as quoted below in the said Review Petitions:

"These I.As have been filed by the several applicants for clarification of our order / judgement. The Review Petitions have been filed bringing in certain more materials facts. After hearing the learned Solicitor General for the State and also the applicants for the I.As., we are not inclined to entertain either. The Review Petitions as well as I.As. are dismissed. If any person not being a party to the same is aggrieved by any order of this Government pursuant to our judgement, it would be fresh cause of action which he can assail in an appropriate forum.

(In Review Petitions) Needless to mention, judgement of this Court would bind the partics in the proceedings".

7. The action to be taken in the light of the observations of the Supreme Court in its order dated 26-11-2001 in the said Review Petitions is being examined by Government.